

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/850,134 05/08/2001		5/08/2001	Izumi Harada	070639/0135	1256		
22428	22428 7590 05/12/2005				EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	ID LARD	NER	ELISCA, PIERRE E				
3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20007		3621			

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons			50,134	HARADA, IZUMI					
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit					
			e E. Elisca	3621					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears or	n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In runication. of days, a reply within the tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be ting e statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>29 <i>April 200</i></u>	<u>05</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action	is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted o	r b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to				, .				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have documents have of the priority doc nal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applicati uments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	• •								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/850,134 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's RCE, filed on 04/29/2005.

2. Claims 1-45 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kawan (U.S. Pat. No. 6,442,532) and Moskowitz et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,822,432) in view of Kara (U.S. Pat. No. 6,735,575).

As per claims 1, 2, 7-20, and 23-45, Kawan substantially discloses a wireless financial information, and settlement, comprising:

preparing deal information in said deal of said goods or said service in which a seller sells and said purchaser purchases (see., abstract, col 3, lines 10-67);

reading in said deal information by said mobile terminal (see., abstract, col 2, lines 11-23);

Application/Control Number: 09/850,134

Art Unit: 3621

a settlement computer by said mobile terminal (see., abstract, col 3, lines 25-29, col 5, lines 24-33). It is obvious to realize that most cellular phone have an e-mail address see., fig 2C. It is to be noted that Kawan fails to explicitly disclose the process of authenticating the seller and the purchaser based on the purchaser identification and the seller identification. However, Moskowitz discloses a seller identification and a purchaser identification (see., abstract, col 9, lines 5-15, col 13, lines 15-20). Moskowitz further discloses a digital watermark or fingerprint see., abstract, col 3, lines 15-40). Storing purchaser identification information for identifying a purchaser by a mobile terminal". It is believed that Moskowitz discloses this limitation in col 9, lines 5-15, col 13, lines 15-20. Please note that the watermark of Moskowitz also includes or stores seller identification and purchaser identification. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wireless transaction of Kawan by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Moskowitz because this would provide a secure wireless or mobile terminal financial transactions.

Kawan and Moskowitz fail to explicitly disclose Applicant's newly added limitation wherein said deal information and said seller identification are indicated by a one-dimensional or two dimensional bar code. Kara discloses a seller that uses a unique identification number to establish an encryption code for printing on the form a machine readable security indicia. A scanner 34 for scanning bar code or indicia (see., Kara, figs 1 and 2, col 2, lines 7-21, col 3, lines 34-67. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of

Art Unit: 3621

Kawan and Moskowitz by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Kara because this would determine whether the bar code that has been received from the user is a valid code.

As per claim 3, Kawan discloses the claimed method of wherein at said step of displaying said deal information by said mobile terminal (see., fig 2C, col 4, lines 7-17). It is to be noted that Kawan fails to disclose the seller identification. However, Moskowitz discloses a seller identification and a purchaser identification (see., abstract, col 9, lines 5-15, col 13, lines 15-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wireless transaction of Kawan by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Moskowitz because this would provide a secure wireless or mobile terminal financial transactions.

As per claims 4, 5, 6, 21, and 22 Kawan discloses a wireless financial information, and settlement, comprising:

preparing deal information in said deal of said goods or said service in which a seller sells and said purchaser purchases (see., abstract, col 3, lines 10-67);

reading in said deal information by said mobile terminal (see., abstract, col 2, lines 11-23);

a settlement computer by said mobile terminal (see., abstract, col 3, lines 25-29, col 5, lines 24-33);

Application/Control Number: 09/850,134 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

displaying said deal information (see., fig 2 C, col 4, lines 7-17). It is to be noted that Kawan fails to explicitly disclose the process of authenticating the seller and the purchaser based on the purchaser identification and the seller identification. However, Moskowitz discloses a seller identification and a purchaser identification (see., abstract, col 9, lines 5-15, col 13, lines 15-20). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wireless transaction of Kawan by including the limitations detailed above as taught by Moskowitz because this would provide a secure wireless or mobile terminal financial transactions.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 04/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

Page 6

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

May 05, 2005